

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/615,107	ICE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ingrid Wright	2835	

All Participants:

- (1) Ingrid Wright/AU 2835
 (2) Mr. Kevn W. Stinger, Reg. No. 48959.

Status of Application: _____

- (3) _____
 (4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 April 2005

Time: 2:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 31,32

Prior art documents discussed:
 None.

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Non-proper antecedent basis in claim 31, for the citation "the hole", was discussed. For details, please see the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

ANATOLY VORTMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)